## United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Nan	ne of Assigned Ju or Magistrate Ju		3. Reinhard	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge		Vid.	
CASE NUMBER			R 50007	DATE	12/17	7/2003	
CASE TITLE			U.S.A. vs. PINEDA				
MO	TION:	[In the following boy nature of the motion	(a) indicate the party fi being presented.]	ling the motion, e.g., plaintiff	, defendant, 3rd party plainti	ff, and (b) state briefly the	
DOCKET ENTRY:							
(1)	□ F	iled motion of [ use lis	ting in "Motion" bo	ox above.]			
(2)		Brief in support of motion due					
(3)	□ A	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due					
(4)		Ruling/Hearing on set for at					
(5)	□ St	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(6)	□ P:	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(7)	□ T:	Trial[set for/re-set for] on at					
(8)	□ [E	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at					
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]  ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ General Rule 21 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).					
(10)	0) Other docket entry For the reasons stated on the reverse Memorandum Opinion and Order the court denies the motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal.						
the court defines the motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appear.							
				4. 4	~ 1 · ·		
(11)	<b>■</b> FE	or further detail see or	der on the revierse	side of the original minu			
		red, advised in open court.	The reverse to	sace of the original limit	The order.j	Document	
	No notices requi	red.			number of notices	Number	
	Notices mailed b				DEC 17 2003		
	Notified counsel by telephone.  Docketing to mail notices.				date docketed	<i>m</i> ;	
	Mail AO 450 form.		TRUBO !	ONTENSIS. UP 10	dockeling depart initials	100	
Copy to judge/magistrate judge.					12-17-03	`	
/SEC de		courtroom		03 DEC 11 b	date mailed notice		
		deputy's initials		ime received in	J pw		
	77.21.2		centra	l Clerk's Office	mailing deputy initials		

## **ORDER**

Wilfredo Pineda has filed a motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis which has been referred to this court for a ruling. The order appealed from is not identified. The latest order of this court prior to the filing of the motion on November 25, 2003 is the court's November 5, 2003 order denying Pineda's motion to reopen the time to file an appeal. For the reasons set forth in the November 5 order, there is no basis for reopening the time to file an appeal under F.R.A.P. 4. Pineda has previously filed numerous motions with this court and the direct appeal of his conviction was dismissed as frivolous and an appeal from the dismissal of his § 2255 motion was dismissed. The court denies the motion to proceed in forma pauperis.						